Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference					
2002P18528WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/41				
International application No. PCT/DE2003/003579	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
	26 October 2003 (28 10 2003) 12 Tombour 2002 (12 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or F02M 37/20	national classification and IPC				
Applicant					
	SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT				
 This international preliminary examand is transmitted to the applicant a 	nination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, including this cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanamended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of a to	stal of sheets.				
3. This report contains indications relat	ting to the following items:				
I Basis of the report	3				
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention					
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
VI Certain documents cit	ted				
VII Certain defects in the	international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
te of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report				
15 June 2004 (15.06.200	24)				
	04 April 2005 (04.04.2005)				
me and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer				
simile No.	Telephone No.				
m PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)	Productio.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/003579

I. Basis of the report							
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*							
	the international application as originally filed						
	the description:						
	Dages						
1	, as originally filed						
	pages, filed with the demand pages, filed with the letter of						
	the claims;						
	Darres						
1	, as originally filed						
1	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19						
1	filed with the demand						
	, filed with the letter of 21 March 2005 (21.03.2005)						
	the drawings;						
İ	pages 1/3-3/3 , as originally filed						
	, filed with the demand						
1	, filed with the letter of						
4	ne sequence listing part of the description:						
3	pages, as originally filed						
•	filed with the demand						
ĺ	pages, filed with the letter of						
 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
	international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4. 🔲 🕆	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
	the description, pages						
	the claims, Nos. 15-18						
	the drawings, sheets/fig						
	his report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go eyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**						
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to and 70.17).							
** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.							
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/DE 03/03579

NO

YES

NO

1, 3-8, 10-14

1-14

v. 	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-14	YES		
		Claims		NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 9	YES		
		Claims	1 2 9 10 14			

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

This report makes reference to the following documents:

Claims

Claims

D1: DE 199 51 410 A

D2: EP 1 223 326 A

D2 is not cited in the international search report. A copy of the document is appended.

Novelty: claims 1 and 8 and claims 2 and 9

D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1) a fuel injection system comprising a fuel reservoir (implicitly provided after the high-pressure pump 3) to which fuel is fed via at least a first pump (2) and from which fuel is discharged via injectors (also implicitly in the internal combustion engine 1), the feed pressure of the first pump (2) being adjusted as a function of the fuel temperature (see, for example, the characterizing part of claim 1) and the evaporative behavior of the fuel (see, for example, claim 10) by a control device (5), which controls the first pump (2).

The subject matter of claim 1 and thus also the method according to claim 8 differ from that of D1 in that the control device determines the <u>evaporative behavior</u> of the fuel <u>by means</u> of modeling.

The subject matter of claim 2 and thus also the method according to claim 9 differ from that of D1 in that a lambda probe output signal is used to determine the evaporative behavior.

Inventive step: claims 1 and 8 and their dependent claims

The present application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subjects of claim 1 and claims 3 to 7, which are dependent on claim 1, and claim 8 and claims 10 to 14, which are dependent on claim 8, do not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

The subject matter of claim 1 and that of claim 8 differs from the fuel injection system of D1 merely in that, instead of the fuel quality being measured directly, a model is used. The objective problem is therefore that of determining the evaporative behavior of the fuel without using an additional sensory mechanism.

However, it is already known to determine the evaporative behavior of fuel by means of modeling from other variables determined in another way: for example, D2 suggests using the cranking enrichment factor and other variables such as variation of the engine speed at start-up, external temperature, start of injection, throttle angle, etc., for assessing the evaporative behavior (see description, column 12, line 35 to column 13, line 8). D2 also suggests using this assessed evaporative behavior for influencing a large number of parameters of normal engine operation (see description, column 13, lines 9-18).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/DE 03/03579

A person skilled in the art would therefore consider the incorporation of this feature in the described fuel injection system to be a conventional structural measure.

Inventive step: claims 2 and 9 and their dependent claims

The use of the lambda probe output signal for determining the evaporative behavior is not suggested by either D1 or D2. The combination of features of claims 2 and 9 and their dependent claims is therefore considered to be non-obvious from the prior art.

Industrial applicability

The subject matter of the claims is clearly industrially applicable.